G. R. S. App [illegible] IX-4]

1943 -

File No. 204-43

Serial Nos. 1 - 4.

Previous References.

Saving 272-29-1-4 (Saving)

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Four

Part B for October 1944

SUBJECT.

Suspension amount of Rs 50/- to the Pooshari of the Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at Srirangapatna

G.O.R. 1854-5 dated 3-10-44

To the Deputy Commissioner Chamarajanagar

Sanctions the recommended loan

13-10-44.

WD 05967—GPB—50,000—9 8-42

194

3411
R

From

Rajasevaprasakta
L. Seshadri, Asst., B.A.,
Mysore Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

To

Subba Rao, Asst., B.A.,
Additional Secretary to
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 11th February 1944

Despatched

Received

GEN. & REV. DEPTT.
15. FEB. 1944
REVENUE BRANCH

General No. [illegible]/43-4

No. Mwz -43-1

Enclosure No. records.

Sir,

Subject:- Imprest amount of Rs.50/- to the Peshkar of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Seringapatam.

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H1-170/43 dated 13th January 1944, together with its enclosures received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, on the above subject. I request that the circumstances enumerated therein and also in view of the fact that the above temple is an important scheduled institution, sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to allow an imprest amount of Rs.50/- to the Peshkar of the temple, for meeting urgent and unexpected items of expenditure, subject to the recovery of the amount of bills to be drawn later. The Commissioner has also stated that such an imprest is necessary.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[signature]
for Commissioner.

(1) The question of granting an imprest to the Peshkar of the temple was first raised by the Muzrai Auditor in the course of auditing the accounts of the institution, for the year 1940-41. The temple Dharmadarshis are agreeable to the proposal. In fact they have resolved that a sum of Rs 100/- may be placed at his disposal as imprest. To a query raised by the S.D.O. as to whether the Peshkar has furnished any security the Amildar has replied in the negative.

(2) The proposal of the M.C. may perhaps be sanctioned with the condition that necessary security is obtained from the Peshkar.

Subd. for orders.

(3) [illegible] 8/3/44

[illegible] made in the [illegible] — Melkote. Now [illegible]?

[illegible]

(4) Reference collection Muz. 272-29, 1181-41, is traced and put up. It is seen therefrom that a permanent advance of Rs. 200/- has been sanctioned in respect of Shri Srikanteshwaraswamy Temple at Nanjangud by the D.C., Mysore Distt. himself and this action has been approved by the M.C. in his order No. R.Dis.1774/29-30 dated the 15th February 1940, a copy of which was subd. for the information of Govt.

(5) Further correspondence on the subject lead to the issue of G.O. No. 6330-31/Muz. 272-29-41, dated the 17th September 1930, sanctioning the grant of a permanent advance not only to Shri Narayanaswamy Temple at Melkote (Rs 200/-) but also to Shri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam (Rs. 50/-) the Amildars of Seringapatam being made responsible for duly accounting for the advances treating them as Sub-imprests given by the Amildar to the Peshkars of the institutions concerned.

"F"
"G"
"K"

Grant of an imprest to the Peetikai Ostoi Rathnaswamy Temple at Seringapatam.

R S III—3]

3411/R √ 934/R.

ROUTINE NOTES.

Mysrai File No. 204 of 1943-44. Page 3

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(6) In the circumstances it is not known why sanction is now sought for the grant of an imprest. The M.C.'s reference may be invited to the G.O. in question

(7) Draft submd for consideration

25/2/44

(8)

[2] Issued No. R. 5111 dated the 28th February 1944 to the Mysrai Commissioner in Mysore enquiring why sanction has been asked for again

28.2.44

[3] Letter No N2-C 2593/43-44, dated the 29th August 1944, from the Mysrai Commissioner in Mysore, stating that the imprest of Rs 50/- sanctioned as far back as September 1938 has not at all been drawn and that therefore fresh sanction is now sought for

(9) Submitted for orders with reference to paras 5-8 supra

29/8/44

(10)



(11)

(12)

N.B.—*Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form*

WD 05636—GPB—36,000—20-3-40

Grant of an interest to the Peshkar of Sri Rangeswaraswamy Temple at Srirangapatam.

934/R

Mudrai File No. 204 ——— of 1943-44 Page 4

(13) Draft Subd.

(14)

Issued No. R- 1854-5, dated the 3rd October 1944 [4]

To - The Mudrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records
" Comptroller to Government

Sanctions the recommendation of the M.C. subject to the same conditions specified in the G.O. dated the 17th Septr. 1930. (Mud. 272-29-4)

B. Record

TYPING S.
8 SEP 1
GEN. & I. etc

Letter No.H1-176/43 dated 19th January 1944, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Audit report relating to Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam - Imprest of Rs.50/- to the Peshkar of the temple.

--

In forwarding herewith the file of records relating to the above subject, I have the honour to state that in accordance with the Auditors report relating to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam (vide extract enclosed in the file) who had proposed an imprest of Rs.50/- to the Peshkar of the temple, the Amildar in consultation with the Dharmadarsis has recommended that the Peshkar may be given an imprest of Rs.100/- instead of Rs.50/- proposed by the auditor.

In the circumstances reported, I recommend that the Peshkar may be allowed an imprest of Rs.50/- for meeting urgent items of expenditure. Copy of resolution of Dharmadarsis also accompanies.

"copy"

M.N. Ananth

For Huzrai Commissioner.

Section. Muzrai File No. 204-43 Dead No

No. R. 5111 / Dated the 28th February 1944.
Encls Records.

To - The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject Grant of an imprest to the Peshkar of Shri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Srirangapatam.

With reference to your letter No. M.2-C.2593/43-44, dated the 11th February 1944, on the above subject I am directed to invite ~~reference~~ to G.O. No. 630-31/Muzrai-272-29-4, dated the 17th September 1930, sanctioning inter alia, the grant of an imprest of Rs. 50/= (fifty) to the Peshkar of the institution in question and to ~~state that no fresh~~ sanction ~~is necessary~~ ~~would be required~~.

2. The connected records are herewith returned.

I have, etc.,

for Addl. Secy. to Govt.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. R 571/Revenue 204-43-2 *Dated, Bangalore* 28th February 19

FROM **Additional**
The Secretary to the Government of His Highnes the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject: Grant of an imprest to the Peshkar of Shri Ranganathawamy Temple at Seringapatam.

With reference to your letter No.N2.C.2593/43-44, dated 11-2-1944, on the above subject, I am directed to invite your attention to G.O.No.630-31/Muzrai-272-29-4, dated 17-9-1930, sanctioning inter alia, the grant of an imprest of Rs.50/- (fifty) to the Peshkar of the institution in question and to enquire why sanction has been asked for again.

2. The connected records are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F.DRAFT

For Additional Secretary to Government.

ASR.

R936

No. C.N2-2593/43-44 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,
Encl. Records

Bangalore, 29th August 1945

Revenue 204-43-3.

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
P. Seshadri, Esq., B.A.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

GEN. & REV. SECT.
29 SEP 1944
REVENUE BRANCH

To

K. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Imprest of Rs. 50 to the Peshkar of the Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple, Seringapatam.

With reference to letter No.R.5111 dated 28th February 44 from the Additional Secretary to Government, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, that the imprest of 50 sanctioned in G.O.No.630-31/Muz.272-29-4 dated 17th September 3 was not drawn for the last fourteen years and, therefore ~~1950,~~ fresh sanction is sought for. A copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter is enclosed.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may, kindly, be obtained to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal.

The connected enclosures are sent herewith, for reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. Seshadri
Muzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:- Govt. Order No. 630-31/Mustrai 272-29-4, dated the 17th September 1930, sanctioning, inter alia, an imprest of Rs. 50/- to Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam the Amildar concerned being made responsible for duly accounting for the advances treating ~~them as~~ sub-imprests given to the Peshkar of the temple ~~in question~~.

Mustrai 204-43 4.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. R2-C. 2593/43-44, dated the 29th August 1944, from the Mustrai Commissioner in Mysore, stating that the ~~imprest~~ of Rs 50/- (fifty), sanctioned on the G.O dated the 17th September 1930 read above, was not drawn for the last 14 years, and ~~the~~ stating that therefore renewal of sanction ~~may be accorded~~ ~~anew~~ for the grant of the imprest of Rs 50/- to the Peshkar of Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam.

ORDER No. R. 1854-5 Genl. Records.

Dated the 30th ~~September~~ October 1944.

The recommendation of the MC. is sanctioned subject to the same conditions specified in the G.O. dated the 17th September 1930, referred to above.

For General Secretary to Govt.

To - The Mustrai Commissioner, with the connected papers
Comptroller to Government

Lr.Dy. No. Hl.173/43-44 dated the 26th Aug. 1944 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya district to the Amildar Commissioner in Agri.

Subject:- Grant of an imprest to the Peshkar of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.H2.2593/43 dated 23-3-44 calling for a report as to why an imprest of ₹ 50 is asked for when it is already sanctioned in G.O. dated 17-9-1930, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Frenchrocks reports that the previous sanction being not availed of for nearly 14 years fresh sanction is sought for at present. In the circumstances reported fresh sanction may be accorded in the matter of sanctioning a grant of ₹ 50 to the Peshkar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

The reports of the Amildar and the Sub Division officer ending with his memo No.649/42 dated 10-8-44 are herein enclosed for kind reference and return

---

"Copy"

29/8

For Rural Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:

Government Order No.630-31/Muzrai 272-29-4, dated the 17th September 1930, sanctioning, inter alia, an imprest of Rs.50/- to Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam, the Amildar concerned being made responsible for duly accounting for the advances treating it as a sub-imprest given to the Peshkar of the Temple.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.N.2-C. 25+93/43-44, dated the 29th August 1944, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, stating that the imprest of Rs. 50/- (fifty), sanctioned in the Government Order dated the 17th September ~~1944~~ 1930 read above, was not drawn for the last 14 years, and requesting renewal of sanction for the grant of the imprest of Rs.50/- to the Peshkar of Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam.

ORDER NO.R. 1854-5 / Muzrai 204-43-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 3rd October 1944

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned subject to the same conditions specified in the Government Order dated the 17th September 193C referred to above.

for Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner, with the connected papers.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.

Page 1-12